

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

April 17, 2007 Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

According to the Examiner, the replacement abstract attached to the Response filed January 26, 2007 is not marked-up to show changes made with respect to the immediate prior version. Applicants have corrected the replacement abstract to show the changes made from the original abstract.

The original abstract contained two separate paragraphs, which was objected to by the Examiner. This amendment deletes the paragraph break, which is shown by strikethrough of the break. The amended replacement abstract, therefore, is a single paragraph combining the two separate paragraphs of the original. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that this Amendment complies with 37 C.F.R. § 121, and request that it be entered.

I. Status of the Application

The abstract has been amended to combine the two paragraphs into a single paragraph.

Claims 1 and 22 have been amended to provide that the water content of the organic solution is either set to or maintained at 50 ppm or more. Claim 3 has been amended to provide that the water content is maintained at 50 ppm or more. Support for these amendments is found in the specification at, for example, page 50, line 6. Claims 12, 23, and 55 have been amended to replace the article “a” with “said”. Claim 55 has also been amended to specify that the water content is “maintained” within the specified range, conforming with the limitation of its antecedent claim (claim 3). No new matter has been added to the application.

Claims 1-4, 12, 14, 19, 22-29, 35-37, 41, 42, 44, 49, and 55-67 are pending and at issue.

II. Objection to the Specification

The abstract has been objected to for being divided into two paragraphs. The specification has been amended to combine the paragraphs into a single paragraph as requested by the Examiner. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that the objection be withdrawn.

III. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-4, 12, 14, 19, 22-29, 35-37, 41, 42, 44, 49, and 55-67 have been rejected under the second paragraph of § 112 as being indefinite due to the phrase “within a predetermined range.”

Independent claims 1 and 22 have been amended to provide that the water content is either set to or maintained at “50 ppm or more.” As amended, claims 1 and 22 particularly set forth the water content of the organic solvent solution, and one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to discern the boundaries of acceptable water content. Claims 2-4, 12, 14, 19, 23-29, and 55-67 depend from claim 1 or 22 and are, therefore, likewise definite. Claims 35-37, 41, 42, 44, and 49, which do not depend from claim 1 or 22, do not recite the phrase “within a predetermined range.” Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance. If there are any remaining issues that the Examiner believes could be resolved through either a Supplemental Response or an Examiner's Amendment, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Dated: May 17, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Jay P. Lessler

Registration No.: 41,151

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant